PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	ITY	TVS.						
To:			PCT PTON					
			RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)					
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)						
Applicant's or agent's file reference	****	FOR FURTHER ACTION						
P37840-P0			See paragraph 2 below					
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/JP2005/000689	20.01.2005		21.01.2004					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both	h national classification and	d IPC						
Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC:	INDUSTRIAL C	O., LTD.	·					
This opinion contains indications rela	iting to the following items	S						
Box No. I Basis of the								
Box No. II Priority								
i —	shment of opinion with res	pard to novelty, inventi	ive step and industrial applicability					
. 🗂								
Box No. V Reasoned st	1(a)(i) with regard to raise supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement						
Box No. VI Certain doc	uments cited							
Box No. VII Certain defe	ects in the international app	plication						
Box No. VIII Certain obs	ervations on the internation	nal application						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Scarching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.								
3. For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.							
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP		Authorized officer						
3								
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/000689

Box No. I Basis of this opinion With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: type of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing format of material in written format in computer readable form time of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or 3. furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/000689

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabilit citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1,	2		YES
		Claims				NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2			YES
		Claims	1		•	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1,	2		YES
		Claims				. NO
2.	Citations and explanations:			·-··		

Document 1: JP, 59-161139, A (Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation), 11 September, 1984 (11.09.84), claims (1) and (5); page 2, lower right column, lines 4-7; page 3, lower left column, lines 4-7 (Family: none)

Document 2: JP, 7-79473, A (Mitsubishi Electric Corporation), 20 March, 1995 (20.03.95), abstract, claims 1-4, paragraphs [0019], [0020] and [0027]-[0032], Figs. 1-4 (Family: none)

Document 3: JP, 10-28282, A (NTT Docomo Inc.), 27 January, 1998 (27.01.98), full text, all drawings (Family: none)

Document 4: JP, 3-143123, A (Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation), 18 June, 1991 (18.06.91), the claims (Family: none)

Document 5: JP, 4-79527, A (Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation), 12 March, 1992 (12.03.92), the claims (Family: none)

Claim 1

The subject matter of claim 1 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-5 cited in the ISR.

Document 1 (claims (1) and (5), page 2, lower right column, lines 4-7, and page 3, lower left column, lines 4-7), document 2 (abstract, claims 1-4, paragraphs [0019], [0020] and [0027]-[0032], and Figs. 1-4) and document 3 (abstract and claims 1-4) cited in the ISR describe a configuration in which accessible information is broadcasted as a broadcast signal in a plurality of base stations using different frequencies.

Document 4 or 5 cited in the ISR describes that data showing permission of transmission or prohibition of transmission is broadcasted as a broadcast signal from a base station.

Thus, a person skilled in the art could have easily conceived that the broadcast signal described in document 4 or 5 is used as the broadcast signal described in documents 1-3.

Claim 2

The subject matter of claim 2 appears to involve an inventive step in view of the documents cited in the ISR. Documents 1-5 do not describe that a voice signal and a data signal are sent and received, and moreover, a person skilled in the art could not have easily conceived of sending and receiving a DTMF signal.